

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Khalil et al

Serial No.:

10/712,879

Filed:

November 13, 2003

For:

Mobile IP Over VPN Communication Protocol

Group Art Unit:

2616

Examiner:

Wong, Blanche

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED JUNE 14, 2007**

In response to the First Office Action mailed June 14, 2007, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the following Response.

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: August 13, 2007

Amy Kaspe

## **INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

- 1. Claims 8-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as allegedly directed toward non-statutory subject matter.
- 2. Claims 8-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Publication 2004/0047322 of O'Neil (hereafter "O'Neil").
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 11-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over O'Neil in view of U.S. Publication 2005/0177722 of Vaarala et al (hereafter "Vaarala").